

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUCIOUS WILSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

BUCATO, J. FLORES, and C.  
HUCKLEBERRY,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-00023-KES-HBK (PC)

**AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT  
SCHEDULING ORDER**

Exhaustion-Based Motion: 8/18/2024

Complete Non-Expert Discovery: 2/14/2025

Settlement Report: 3/16/2025

Dispositive Motion(s): 6/20/2025

On its own Motion, the Court issues this Amended Case Management Scheduling Order to provide guidelines not contained in its prior Case Management Scheduling Order.<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 1, 16, and 26-36, the Court sets forth the following case management deadlines and discovery procedures for this case as follows:

**I. Written Discovery:**

Discovery requests shall be served by the parties in compliance with Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Eastern District of California Local Rule 135. Discovery requests and responses shall not be filed with the Court unless required by Eastern District California Local Rules 250.2, 250.3 and 250.4. Absent leave of Court, discovery is limited as follows:

A. The parties are limited to **15 Interrogatories** (an interrogatory is a written question or

---

<sup>1</sup>Due to a scrivener's error, the prior order did not provide any instructions concerning interrogatories. The deadlines for motions practice have also been revised.

1 request for information sent by one party to another, to be answered under oath to clarify matters  
2 of fact and “may relate to any matter that may be inquired into under Rule 26(b).” Fed. R. Civ. P.  
3 33(a)(2));

4       B. The parties are limited to **15 Requests for Admission** (a request for admission is a  
5 written request that the opposing party “admit, for purposes of the pending action only, the truth  
6 of any matters within the scope of Rule 26(b)(1)” that relate to “(A) facts, the application of law  
7 to fact, or opinions about either; [or] (B) the genuineness of any described documents.” Fed. R.  
8 Civ. P. 36(a)(1));

9       C. The parties are limited to **15 Requests for Production** (a request for production is a  
10 written request that the opposing party produce documents or electronically stored information,  
11 “including writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, images, and other  
12 data or data compilations,” or a written request that the opposing party produce any designated  
13 tangible things. Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1)).

14       If a party wishes to serve additional discovery requests, that party must file a motion for  
15 additional discovery requests with the Court, explaining why additional discovery requests are  
16 necessary.

17       Responses to documents shall include all documents within a party’s possession, custody,  
18 or control. Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1). Documents are deemed within a party’s possession, custody,  
19 or control if the party has actual possession, custody, or control thereof, or the legal right to  
20 obtain the property on demand. *Allen v. Woodford*, 2007 WL 309945, at \*2 (E.D. Cal. 2007).

21       Responses to written discovery, including the production of documents, shall be due **no**  
22 **later than forty-five (45) days** after the request is served. Boilerplate objections are disfavored  
23 and may be summarily overruled by the Court. All discovery must be completed by the above-  
24 referenced discovery cut-off date.

25       **The parties are required to act in good faith during discovery and are required to**  
26 **meet and confer, via correspondence or telephonically, to resolve any discovery dispute**  
27 **prior to filing any discovery motion.** Motions to compel should be filed promptly after the non-  
28 receipt or receipt of the objectionable discovery; and, in all cases no later than ten (10) days

1 **before** the non-expert discovery deadline expires. Failure to timely file a motion to compel will  
2 result in a waiver of any objections to discovery. The moving party must include certification  
3 that they have complied with their duty to meet and confer. The failure to include a certification  
4 or show good cause for failure to meet and confer will result in the motion being stricken. The  
5 fact that Plaintiff is incarcerated does not constitute good cause to excuse either parties' duty to  
6 fulfill the meet and confer requirement.

7 **II. Depositions**

8 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(B), Defendant(s) may depose Plaintiff and any other  
9 witness confined in a prison upon condition that, at least fourteen (14) days before such a  
10 deposition, Defendant(s) serve all parties with the notice required by Rule 30(b)(1). Pursuant to  
11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(4), the parties may take any deposition under this section by video  
12 conference, relieving the court reporter of the requirement to be in the physical presence of the  
13 witness under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 28(a)(1) during that deposition. Nothing herein  
14 forecloses a party from bringing a motion for protective order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1)  
15 if deemed necessary.

16 Disagreement with any directive of security staff at the institution or prison at which the  
17 deposition is scheduled is not a basis for the Plaintiff to refuse to answer questions. Further, the  
18 failure of Plaintiff to attend, be sworn, or answer appropriate questions may result in sanctions,  
19 including terminating the action as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37.

20 **III. Case Management Deadlines**

21 The following deadlines shall govern this action:

22 A. Deadline to challenge exhaustion of administrative remedies: 8/18/2024.

23 B. Deadline to complete non-expert discovery: 2/14/2025. Motions to compel must be  
24 filed no later than ten (10) days before the non-expert discovery deadline. The motion(s) should  
25 include a copy of the request(s) and any response to the request(s) at issue. The responding party  
26 may file a response to the motion no later than twenty-one days from the date the motion is filed.  
27 If, after reviewing the motion(s) and response(s), the Court determines that a hearing will be  
28 helpful, the Court will set a hearing on the motion(s) to compel.

1           C. Deadline to advise of settlement potential: 3/16/2025. Defendant(s) shall confer with  
2 Plaintiff,<sup>2</sup> and no later than June 29, 2025, shall file a report indicating each party's position on  
3 whether a further settlement conference would be productive.<sup>3</sup>

4           D. Deadline to file pre-trial dispositive motions: 6/20/2025. The deadline to file  
5 oppositions to dispositive motions, including motions for summary judgment, is thirty-five (35)  
6 days from the date the motion is filed on CM/ECF. The deadline to file replies to oppositions is  
7 fourteen (14) days from the date the opposition is filed on CM/ECF. If a party needs an extension  
8 to these deadlines, that party must file a motion for an extension of time.

9           If Defendant(s) file a motion for summary judgment, Defendant(s) shall simultaneously  
10 provide Plaintiff with the notice and warning required by *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952 (9th  
11 Cir. 1998).

12           E. In addition to complying with the applicable rules, a motion and incorporated  
13 memorandum and any responses in opposition shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length,  
14 exclusive of exhibits. A moving party's reply brief shall not exceed seven (7) pages in length. A  
15 party must move and show good cause for exceeding these page limitations before filing a motion  
16 in excess of these pages. Any motions filed in excess of these page limitations may be struck.

17           F. Defendant(s) shall lodge a Word version of any dispositive motion to chambers at:  
18 [hbkorders@caed.uscourts.gov](mailto:hbkorders@caed.uscourts.gov) and shall mail or deliver courtesy hard-copies of any motion that  
19 exceeds twenty-five (25) pages in length (exhibits included) to the Clerk of Court at 2500 Tulare  
20 St., Fresno, CA 93721, marked "to the Attention of: HBK Chambers." Courtesy hard-copies shall  
21 reflect the CM/ECF document numbers and pagination.

22           G. The parties shall return the Consent/Decline to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction Form no  
23 later than twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order if they have not yet returned it.

24           **IV. Further Dates and Deadlines**

25           If this case proceeds after dispositive motions are resolved, or if no dispositive motions

27           

---

<sup>2</sup> The parties may confer by letter or telephonically.

28           <sup>3</sup> If the parties request a settlement conference, the Court will stay the remaining deadlines.

1 are filed, the Court will set expert disclosure deadlines,<sup>4</sup> a telephonic trial confirmation hearing,  
2 pretrial deadlines, and a trial date, as appropriate.

3 **V. Effect of This Order**

4 The deadlines set forth above are firm and will only be extended upon a showing of good  
5 cause. Eleventh hours motions, *i.e.*, motions filed on the eve of the deadline expiration, to extend  
6 a deadline will only be granted only upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances.

7

8 Dated: August 1, 2024

  
9 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

27

---

28 <sup>4</sup> While the Court is not setting a deadline for expert disclosures at this time, the parties may provide their  
expert disclosures at any time.